Application No.: 10/549,771

Amendment Dated January 12, 2009

Reply to Office Action of October 23, 2008

## Remarks/Arguments:

Applicant and his representatives acknowledge, with thanks, the courtesy of the Examiner and the Examiner's Supervisor for granting a telephone interview on November 20, 2008.

Claims 1-7 are pending in the above-identified application. Claims 1 and 7 have been amended. Accordingly, claims 1-7 are presented for reconsideration.

Claims 1-7 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (b) as being anticipated by Funahashi et al. Claim 1 is amended to recite features neither disclosed nor suggested by the prior art, namely:

... wherein a suspension holder extending downward from a middle portion between an inner periphery and an outer periphery on a rear surface of the diaphragm is integrated with the diaphragm, an entire surface of an end face of the suspension holder is attached to the diaphragm ... (Emphasis added).

During the interview, the Examiner indicated that the amendment to claim 1 appeared to overcome the rejection, but required further consideration. Accordingly, the amendment is being filed so that it can be considered. Withdrawal of the rejection to claim 1 is respectfully requested.

Claims 2-5 depend from claim 1. Accordingly, claims 2-5 are likewise allowable over the art of record for at least the reasons set forth above with respect to claim 1. Withdrawal of the rejection to claims 2-5 are respectfully requested.

Claim 6, while not identical to claim 1, includes features similar to those set forth above with regard to claim 1. Thus, claim 6 is also allowable over the art of record for at least reasons set forth above with regard to claim 1. Withdrawal of the rejection to claim 6 is respectfully requested.

Claim 7 depends from claim 6. Accordingly, claim 7 is likewise allowable over the art of record for at least the reasons set forth above with respect to claim 6. Withdrawal of the rejection to claim 7 is respectfully requested.

Application No.: 10/549,771 MAT-8742US

Amendment Dated January 12, 2009

Reply to Office Action of October 23, 2008

In view of the amendments and arguments set forth above, Applicants submit the above-identified application is in condition for allowance, which action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully Submitted,

Vacques L. Etkowicz, Reg. No. 41,738

Attorney for Applicant

JLE/dmw

Dated: January 12, 2009

P.O. Box 980 Valley Forge, PA 19482 (610) 407-0700

NM364925